

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Separation and Purification Technology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/seppur

Sulfate ionic liquids impregnated 2D boron nitride nanosheets for trace SO₂ capture with high capacity and selectivity



Wen-Qiang Gong^a, Xian-Lu Wu^a, Zhang-Min Li^a, Yan Zhou^a, Wenshuai Zhu^b, Duan-Jian Tao^{a,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Functional Small Molecules for Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022. China

^b School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Institute for Energy Research, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, China

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T	
Keywords: Boron nitride Ionic liquids Sulfur dioxide Adsorption Separation	Owing to the abundant ionic sites and good molecular recognition ability of ionic liquids (ILs), the impregnation of ILs on support materials is an attractive strategy for trace SO ₂ capture with both desired capacity and high selectivity. Herein, we successfully prepared a kind of hexagonal boron nitride (<i>h</i> -BN) nanosheets impregnated sulfate functionalized ILs tetramethylethylenediamine ethylsulfate (x [TMEDA][DES]@BN, $x =$ mass ratio) for capture of low-concentration SO ₂ . It is found that the low-concentration SO ₂ uptakes of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN were extremely high, with the amount of 2.3 mmol g ⁻¹ at 293.2 K and 0.01 bar, and 0.82 mmol g ⁻¹ at 293.2 K and 0.002 bar. Meanwhile, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN showed a very fast SO ₂ adsorption rate and reached an unprecedented SO ₂ /CO ₂ selectivity (2714) for the mixture of 1% SO ₂ and 99% CO ₂ . Finally, dynamic column breakthrough tests further confirmed the excellent separation performance and good reversibility of 1.5[TMEDA] [DES]@BN in deeply removing 2000 ppm SO ₂ in the mixture of SO ₂ /CO ₂ /N ₂ .	

1. Introduction

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) released from the burning of low-grade coal and fuel is unfavorable to human health and the environment [1]. The excessive emission of low concentration SO₂ (such as 1000–3000 ppm) would cause serious concerns such as heavy smog and acid rain. Nowadays, various kinds of flue gas desulfurization (FGD) techniques are applied for the removal of SO₂ from flue gas. However, there still exist many inherent drawbacks in these FGDs, such as low efficiency, huge energy consumption, and production of sulfur-containing solid waste. Therefore, the selective elimination of trace SO₂ with high efficiency and excellent reversibility is highly demanded and desirable.

Ionic liquids (ILs), as a type of spotlighting gas absorbents, have been widely studied in various acid gas captures such as CO_2 , SO_2 , H_2S , NO_x , owing to their specific properties such as negligible vapor pressure, good solubility, and designable structure [2–4]. Many kinds of ILs were found and considered to be excellent absorbents for highly efficient absorption of acid gas, especially SO_2 capture [5,6]. However, the homogeneous ILs absorbents usually have the intrinsic nature of high viscosity, which tends to cause poor mass/heat transport and insufficient desorption of acid gas [6,7]. To overcome these problems, many efforts have been made to explore the immobilization of ILs on various porous support

materials, where the supported ILs not only retain the natural features of ILs, but also possess the advantages of porosity. Till now, various porous materials such as silica gels, porous carbons, metal organic frameworks (MOFs), and zeolite have widely been reported for supporting ILs [8–12].

Recently, Zhang et al. [13] demonstrated that the composite material of one-dimensional (1D) mesoporous MCM-41 supported an IL, tetramethylguanidinium lactate, showed an SO₂ capacity of 3.48 mmol g⁻¹ at 1.0 bar. After that, Wang et al. [14] reported that imidazolium tetrazolate IL functionalized three-dimensional (3D) mesoporous FDU-12 materials could be used as great adsorbents for SO₂ uptake with 8.19 mmol g⁻¹ at 1.0 bar. However, it is well known that the concentration of SO₂ in flue gas is significantly less than the CO₂ concentration (e.g., 2000 ppm SO₂ vs. 10–15 v/v% CO₂). Most materials supported ILs reported so far exhibited very low capacities for low-concentration SO₂ capture, and thus could not efficiently remove trace SO₂ in flue gas [9,15–17].

So far, hexagonal boron nitride (*h*-BN) nanosheets has attracted increasing interests because of its two-dimensional (2D) layer-structure and high specific surface area [18,19]. There are some researches on the utilization of *h*-BN nanosheets for efficient adsorption of acidic gas such as CO_2 and SO_2 [20,21]. It is thus clear that *h*-BN will be applied as a

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.118824

Received 13 February 2021; Received in revised form 18 April 2021; Accepted 19 April 2021 Available online 25 April 2021 1383-5866/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* djtao@jxnu.edu.cn (D.-J. Tao).



Scheme 1. The one-pot procedure of synthesis of sulfate ionic liquids.

support for immobilization and high-dispersion of ILs, which can enhance SO₂ adsorption capacity and desulphurization efficiency. Herein, a kind of *h*-BN nanosheets supported sulfate ILs was prepared and used as an efficient adsorbent for trace SO₂ capture with both high uptake and superior selectivity simultaneously. Detail investigation indicated that trace SO₂ uptake capacities of *h*-BN nanosheets supported sulfate ILs were extremely high, with the amount of 2.3 mmol g⁻¹ at 293.2 K and 0.01 bar, and 0.82 mmol g⁻¹ at 293.2 K and 0.002 bar. Also, the SO₂/CO₂ selectivity could reach as high as 2714 for the mixture of 1% SO₂ and 99% CO₂. Furthermore, the excellent separation performance and good reversibility of 2000 ppm SO₂ capture were confirmed through column breakthrough tests with the SO₂/N₂/CO₂ mixed gas.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA, >99%), diethyl sulfate (DES, >99%), urea (99.5%) were obtained from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Boric acid (99.5%), ethanol (>99%), methanol (>99%), and ethyl acetate (>99%) were purchased by Tianjin Fuchen Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. SO₂, N₂, and CO₂ were purchased with a grade of 99.99% from Jiangxi Huahong Gas Co., Ltd. Other chemicals were high purity and used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of sulfate ionic liquids

In a typical run, a solution of TMEDA (0.01 mol) in ethyl acetate (20 mL) was poured into a round-bottomed flask. Then DES (0.01 mol) slowly dripped into the flask. The mixture was further stirred for 12 h in an ice-water bath. After reaction, the system formed a liquid–liquid biphase, and the lower phase containing sulfate ILs could be easily separated by simple decantation. Then the lower phase was further dried in an oven under vacuum at 343 K for 18 h to eliminate other solvents and residues. Finally, the sulfate IL was obtained and denoted as [TMEDA][DES] (Scheme 1). The water content of [TMEDA][DES] was measured to be less than 0.05 wt% by the Karl Fisher titration, and the purity of [TMEDA][DES] was found to be 99.2 wt% confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR (Fig. S1-2, Supplementary data).

2.3. Preparation of x[TMEDA][DES]@BN adsorbent

Firstly, *h*-BN nanosheets were prepared in accordance with the previous literature [18,19]. Then, the sulfate IL impregnated *h*-BN nanosheets (x[TMEDA][DES]@BN, x = mass ratio) were prepared and obtained by the mixing of these two precursors with different mass ratios. The detailed procedure was as follows: In a typical run, [TMEDA][DES] (1.0 g), *h*-BN (1.0 g), and ethanol (40 mL) were charged into a flask at 298.2 K, respectively. The mixture was then put into a sonic bath for 1 h to obtain the suspension. After that, the solvent ethanol in the suspension was distilled by rotary evaporation. Thus, the white solid was further dried in an oven under vacuum at 343.2 K for 6 h to obtain the sample 1.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN.

The preparation of the other three samples 0.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN, and 2.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN were prepared in accordance with the above-mentioned process excepting the mass ratio of 0.5:1, 1.5:1, and 2:1.



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN and *h*-BN samples.

2.4. Characterizations

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were characterized on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer. XRD patterns of samples were carried out on a Rigaku RINT-2200 X-ray diffractometer with a scanning rate of 3 K/min. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were recorded on a JEOL JEM-2100. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained using an S-3400 electron microscope. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were carried out on a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer. N₂ adsorption–desorption analysis was carried out on a Micromeritics TriStar II 3020 apparatus. The thermostability of adsorbents was determined by PerkinElmer Diamond TG/DTA apparatus under flowing N₂. The chemical structures and surface electronic states of adsorbents were determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Shimadzu AXIS SUPRA).

2.5. Pure gas adsorption

The experiments for adsorption of SO₂ at 293.2–313.2 K were carried out using a home-made apparatus (Fig. S3, Supplementary data) that was reported in our previous studies [22,23]. The detailed experimental processes are listed in the Supplementary data. The SO₂ uptake capacity was determined through the pressure changes of the two chambers. Also, Micromeritics TriStar 3020 apparatus was employed to record the adsorption isotherm of N₂ and CO₂ at 298.2 K. The ideal adsorption solution theory (IAST) selectivity of SO₂/N₂ and SO₂/CO₂ was then calculated based on the model equation proposed by Myers et al [24,25].

2.6. Trace SO₂ adsorption

The homemade apparatus for trace SO₂ breakthrough tests at 303.2 K and 1.0 bar was shown in Fig. S4 in the Supplementary data. The simulated flue gas is composed of 0.2% SO₂ (2000 ppm), 84.8% N₂, and 15% CO₂. In a typcical run, a given amount of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN adsorbent was added and placed in a quartz tube at a certain temperature under the following He. Then the He flow was treated by a flowing simulated flue gas containing SO₂/CO₂/N₂ at a rate of 23.53 mL min⁻¹. The composition of outlet simulated flue gas was monitored and detected by mass spectrometry. For the recycle test, SO₂-loaded 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN was heated to 323.2 K for releasing SO₂ with the He flow for 2 h. The regenerated 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN was employed for the next breakthrough experiments.



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of *h*-BN, [TMEDA][DES], and *x*[TMEDA][DES] @BN samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization results

Fig. 1 illustrates the XRD patterns of x[TMEDA][DES]@BN adsorbents and precursor *h*-BN nanosheets. It is observed that two diffraction peaks appeared at 25.8° and 42.6°, which ascribes to the (002) and (100) crystalline levels diffraction of *h*-BN nanosheets [26]. After

impregnation with [TMEDA][DES], the three samples 1.0[TMEDA] [DES]@BN, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN, and 2.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN also retained these two characteristics peaks. This shows that the introduction of sulfate IL does not destruct the skeleton of *h*-BN nanosheets. Moreover, it is showed that the XRD peaks had gradually broadened when the amount of sulfate ILs increased. This result implies that the π - π stacking interaction in *h*-BN nanosheets was weaken because of the entry of sulfate ILs into the interlayer of *h*-BN. This similar phenomenon is well consistent with a previous literature reported by Huang K. et al [26].

Fig. 2 illustrates the FTIR spectra of *h*-BN nanosheets support, [TMEDA][DES] IL, and *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN samples. The *h*-BN nanosheets support exhibited two typical peaks at 1380 and 800 cm⁻¹, ascribing to the B–N in-plane vibration and B–N–B stretching vibration, respectively [26,27]. The peak around 3400 cm⁻¹ was also corresponding to the N–H vibration in *h*-BN nanosheets defect site [28,29]. The characteristics peaks of [TMEDA][DES] IL were found around 920, 1020, and 1240 cm⁻¹, attributing to the S–O, S=O, and C–N vibrations, respectively [30]. Overall, all these six typical peaks were similarly observed in the *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN samples. This demonstrates the successful impregnation of sulfate ILs monomer on the support material *h*-BN nanosheets.

Fig. 3 illustrates the SEM and TEM images of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN and *h*-BN nanosheets, respectively. It is found that the support *h*-BN nanosheets displayed typical few-layered and folded structures (Fig. 3a, b, c and Fig. S5 in Supplementary data). After the introduction of [TMEDA][DES] IL, the size of *h*-BN nanosheets had no obvious change but *h*-BN nanosheets were gathered and stacked obviously due to the coating of viscous sulfate IL (Fig. 3d). All these results demonstrate that the sulfate IL [TMEDA][DES] was favorably coated on the surface layer



Fig. 3. TEM images of h-BN (a,b), and SEM images of h-BN (c) and 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN (d).

Table 1

Specific surface area, pore volume, and state of *h*-BN and [TMEDA][DES]@BN samples.

Sample	$S_{BET} (m^2 g^{-1})$	$V_t (cm^3 g^{-1})$	State
h-BN	264	0.62	Dry powder
0.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN	44	0.27	Dry powder
1.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN	32	0.17	Dry powder
1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN	18	0.09	Dry powder
2.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN	10	0.03	Dry powder

of h-BN nanosheets.

Subsequently, the as-obtained x[TMEDA][DES]@BN and h-BN nanosheets were carried out by N2 adsorption-desorption analysis (Fig. S6-7, Supplementary data). Then, the pore volumes and specific surface areas of these samples are listed in Table 1. It is indicated that the h-BN nanosheets showed a typical type-IV isotherm with a specific surface area value of 264 m² g⁻¹. After impregnation with a certain amount of [TMEDA][DES] IL, the N2 sorption capacity of 1.5[TMEDA] [DES]@BN was found to be very low. The surface area of 1.5[TMEDA] [DES]@BN was calculated to be 18 m² g⁻¹, as well as its pore volume reached as small as 0.09 cm³ g⁻¹. This finding implies that the pore volume of *h*-BN nanosheets was practically filled by the [TMEDA][DES] IL, contributing to a very low N2 uptake. In addition, thermogravimetric analysis of [TMEDA][DES] IL, x[TMEDA][DES]@BN, and h-BN nanosheets were further investigated. As shown in Fig. S8, the decomposition starting temperature of sulfate IL [TMEDA][DES] was higher than 450 K, demonstrating its good thermal stability. Also, the support h-BN nanosheets and four x[TMEDA][DES]@BN samples were found to be highly stable under the temperature below 480 K (Fig. S9, Supplementary data). In addition, the thermal stability of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN after SO₂ and CO₂ adsorption-desorption cycles was studied. It is found

that compared with the fresh sample, the reused 1.5[TMEDA][DES] @BN remained good stability after undergoing the atmosphere with SO₂ and CO₂ (Figs. S10-11, Supplementary data). Although the sulfate anion ILs exhibited a relatively high stability under the condition of simulated flue gas in short runs, it should be noted that most industrial gas streams often contain various gases such as SO₂, O₂, H₂O, CO₂, and N₂. The stability for actual industrial scale would be indefinite and required to explore in longtime runs.

3.2. SO_2 adsorption performance

Firstly, the SO₂ adsorption capacities of *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN were measured and the results are shown in Fig. 4a. It is indicated that SO₂ uptake capacity increased sharply at low partial pressures, implying that SO_2 has chemical adsorption in x[TMEDA][DES]@BN. Also, the SO_2 adsorption capacity first increased significantly but then increased slightly with the increase of impregnation amount of sulfate ILs. For example, 0.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN and 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN exhibited SO₂ uptakes of 3.5 and 7.6 mg g^{-1} at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar, respectively. Fig. 4b shows the effect of adsorption temperature on the performance of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN. It is indicated that the SO₂ uptake capacity decreased obviously with increasing temperature, enabling an exothermic adsorption process. According to the relationship between SO₂ adsorption capacity and temperature, the isosteric heats were found to be -60 to -40 kJ/mol for SO2 adsorption on 1.5 [TMEDA][DES]@BN (Fig. S12, Supplementary data). This range of SO2 adsorption heat values reconfirms the chemical interaction between SO₂ and 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN.

Subsequently, the FTIR spectra of load-SO₂ 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN was further measured. It is seen from Fig. 4c that three new peaks at 765, 984, and 1240 cm⁻¹ were observed for captured SO₂, which is ascribed



Fig. 4. SO₂ adsorption isotherms of x[TMEDA][DES]@BN at 293.2 K (a); SO₂ adsorption isotherms of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN at different temperatures (b); FTIR spectra of fresh and SO₂-loaded 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN (c); SO₂, N₂, and CO₂ adsorption isotherms of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar (d).



Fig. 5. XPS survey spectra (a) and N 1 s spectra (b) of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN before and after SO₂ adsorption.



Fig. 6. Experimental column breakthrough curves for trace 2000 ppm SO_2 separation with *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN adsorbents at 303.2 K and 1.0 bar.

to the S–O vibration, the S=O symmetric and asymmetrical vibrations, respectively [31]. Moreover, XPS characterization for 1.5[TMEDA] [DES]@BN before and after SO2 adsorption was performed to clarify the adsorption active site (Fig. 5). For fresh 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN, the N 1 s XPS spectra were deconvoluted into three peaks and the peaks at the binding energies of 396.68 eV, 398.07 eV, and 401.08 eV can be assigned to N-B of h-BN, N-C of tertiary amine, and quaternary N, respectively. For SO2-loaded 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN, it is found that the binding energy of tertiary N decreased to 397.77 eV (a reduction of 0.3 eV) after SO₂ adsorption. However, the binding energies of N-B and quaternary N only decreased by 0.14 and 0.16 eV, respectively. This finding shows that N-C of tertiary amine is much more likely to be the key adsorption site on 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN for SO2 capture. In addition, Fig. 4d shows the N₂ and CO₂ uptakes by 1.5[TMEDA][DES] @BN at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar. It is demonstrated that 1.5[TMEDA][DES] @BN almost excluded the adsorption of N2 and CO2. CO2 and N2 uptakes only reached 0.07 and 0.005 mmol g^{-1} , respectively, which is a result of weak acidity of CO2 and inertia of N2. Then the SO2/CO2 selectivity of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN was calculated on the basis of the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) [24,25]. As shown in Fig. S13 in the Supplementary data, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN displayed excellent IAST selectivities of SO₂/CO₂ (2714-109) in the SO₂ molar fraction range 0.01-0.9. An ultrahigh SO₂/CO₂ selectivity for 0.01 bar SO₂ was found to be 2714 at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar. Therefore, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN has a good potential for highly selective trace SO₂ capture in the FGD process.



Fig. 7. Cycling column breakthrough tests for $SO_2/N_2/CO_2$ (2000 ppm SO_2) separations with 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN at 303.2 K and 1.0 bar.

3.3. Trace SO₂ capture performance

It is known that the concentration of SO_2 in industrial flue gas (e.g. coal burning flue gas) ranges from 500 to 3000 ppm [32]. To evaluate the practical capability of *x*[TMEDA][DES]@BN for trace SO₂ capture, breakthrough experiments were conducted from a simulated flue gas containing 2000 ppm SO₂ at 303.2 K and 1.0 bar. Fig. 6 shows 2000 ppm SO_2 separation performance of x[TMEDA][DES]@BN samples. It is found that the breakthrough performance of SO₂ on 1.5[TMEDA][DES] @BN exceeded that on 0.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN and 1.0[TMEDA][DES] @BN, which agrees with the higher saturated SO₂ adsorption capacities of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN (1.9 mmol g⁻¹) than 0.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN (1.2 mmol g⁻¹) and 1.0[TMEDA][DES]@BN (0.8 mmol g⁻¹) at 293.2 K and 0.01 bar (Fig. 4a). Moreover, the breakthrough of 2000 ppm SO₂ on 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN was found to be very slow and the retention time was \sim 390 min g⁻¹. By contrast, the breakthrough of N₂ and CO₂ rapidly eluted with 20 min g⁻¹. Therefore, 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN enables highly selective adsorption of 2000 ppm SO₂ with clean CO₂ and N₂ eluted from the column bed.

Furthermore, cycling breakthrough tests were conducted to evaluate the recyclability of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN for 2000 ppm SO₂ capture. The results are shown in Fig. 7. It is indicated that the breakthrough performance of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN for 2000 ppm SO₂ did not reduce obviously, and the retention time still retained ~350 min g⁻¹ after four cycles. It is because little parts of SO₂ uptake by chemical interaction would hard to desorb at 323.2 K for releasing SO₂ under



Fig. 8. SO_2 adsorption performance of various adsrobents at 0.002 bar SO_2 partial pressure.

flowing He purge. However, it is indicated that the FTIR spectra of regenerated 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN were nearly the same as the fresh and no characteristic peaks for captured SO₂ was found in the recycled 1.5 [TMEDA][DES]@BN (Fig. S14, Supplementary data). There were also no changes in the morphology and structure of *h*-BN before and after SO₂ adsorption–desorption cycle, further showing the good stability of *h*-BN structure (Fig. S15, Supplementary data). All these above results demonstrate that the 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN material has excellent stability and recyclability for 2000 ppm SO₂ adsorption. However, the simulated flue gas, after all, is a littile different from the actual industrial flue gas. The industrial scale performance in longtime runs should be further studied to resolve the potential limitations and more investigations are underway in our laboratory.

3.4. Comparison of SO₂ adsorption capacity and rate

The comparison of 2000 ppm SO₂ adsorption capacity of 1.5 [TMEDA][DES]@BN and other materials adsorbents in literature were summarized and shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that 1.5[TMEDA][DES] @BN exhibited considerable SO₂ uptake as high as 0.82 mmol g⁻¹ even at a very low SO₂ pressure of 0.002 bar, outperforming most of the reported ionic polymers such as P(D[VImC6]Br) gel, P(EVIm-Br), P(3DVB-EVIm-Br), and HNIP-TBMB-1 [33,34]. Moreover, the 2000 ppm SO₂ uptake capacity of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN also exceeded the adsorption

capacity of MOFs materials MFM-601 (0.24 mmol g⁻¹) [35] and MFM-300(In) (0.43 mmol g⁻¹) [36], and were analogous to the benchmarking materials P(Ph-2MVIm-Br) (1.13 mmol g⁻¹) and P(Ph-3MVIm-Br) (1.20 mmol g⁻¹) [37]. The uniform dispersion of sulfate IL on the support *h*-BN nanosheets affords the abundant ionic sites and thus results in the superior SO₂ uptake capacity even at 0.002 bar SO₂ partial pressure.

Fig. 9 shows the SO₂ adsorption rate on *h*-BN nanosheets, [TMEDA] [DES] IL, and x[TMEDA][DES]@BN at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar. It is seen from Fig. 9a that pure h-BN nanosheets support showed a SO₂ uptake capacity of only 2.4 mmol g^{-1} but had a rapid SO₂ capture rate within 1 min, indicating that the 2D architecture can effectively promote the adsorption rate of SO₂. Thereafter, *h*-BN nanosheets supported sulfate ILs 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN not only showed an adsorption saturation capacity of 7.6 mmol g^{-1} , but also had a fast SO₂ uptake rate less than 5 min at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar. In particularly, the SO₂ uptake of 1.5 [TMEDA][DES]@BN could reach ~85% of saturated capacity after a short time of 1 min. Thus, the outstanding SO₂ adsorption rate of 1.5 [TMEDA][DES]@BN is much faster than many reported porous materials such as P(Ph-4MVIm-Br) (10 min) [37], PI-COF-m60 (20 min) [17], and NPC-1-900 (30 min) [38]. However, the neat [TMEDA][DES] IL possessing high viscosity leaded to an extremely slow absorption rate with a saturation time of>200 min, though the adsorption saturation capacity was 11.5 mmol g^{-1} at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar. (Fig. 9b). Therefore, it is demonstrated that 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN possessed both 2D architecture from h-BN nanosheets and abundant ionic sites from [TMEDA][DES] IL, and thereby exhibited both large SO2 uptake and fast adsorption rate.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the *h*-BN nanosheets supported sulfate functionalized ILs were prepared, characterized, and served as highly efficient adsorbents for trace SO₂ capture. It is found that the 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN displayed considerably high SO₂ capacity, with the amount of 2.3 mmol g^{-1} at 293.2 K and 0.01 bar, and 0.82 mmol g^{-1} at 293.2 K and 0.002 bar, which is much better than most of known adsorbents reported in the literature. Moreover, the 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN showed a very fast SO₂ adsorption rate with a saturation time of less than 5 min, as well as reached a superior SO₂/CO₂ selectivity (2714) for the mixture of 1% SO₂ and 99% CO₂. In addition, dynamic column breakthrough tests further confirmed the excellent separation performance and good reversibility of 1.5[TMEDA][DES]@BN in deeply removing 2000 ppm SO₂ in the mixture of SO₂/N₂/CO₂. Therefore, it is believed that the impregnation of ILs on 2D *h*-BN nanosheets to produce new adsorbents is an operative strategy for highly efficient separation and purification of trace SO₂.



Fig. 9. SO2 adsorption rate for h-BN and x[TMEDA][DES]@BN (a); [TMEDA][DES] (b) at 293.2 K and 1.0 bar.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Wen-Qiang Gong: Writing - review & editing. Xian-Lu Wu: Writing - review & editing. Zhang-Min Li: Writing - review & editing. Yan Zhou: Writing - review & editing, Supervision. Wenshuai Zhu: Writing - review & editing, Supervision. Duan-Jian Tao: Supervision.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The Project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (21566011, 22068013), the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangxi Province (20202BBGL73118), and the Natural Science Foundations of Jiangxi Province (20192ACBL20025) for financial support.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.118824.

References

- P. Córdoba, Status of Flue Gas Desulphurisation (FGD) systems from coal-fired power plants: overview of the physic-chemical control processes of wet limestone FGDs, Fuel. 144 (2015) 274–286.
- [2] E. Davarpanah, S. Hernández, G. Latini, C.F. Pirri, S. Bocchini, Enhanced CO₂ absorption in organic solutions of biobased ionic liquids, Adv. Sustain. Syst. 4 (2019) 1900067.
- [3] Z.Y. Xu, W.B. Zhao, X.H. Xie, S.C. Xu, Y. Chen, Liquid-liquid phase-change absorption of hydrogen sulfide by superbase 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene and its chemical regeneration, Sep. Purif. Technol. 250 (2020), 117244.
- [4] N. Noorani, A. Mehrdad, Experimental and theoretical study of CO₂ sorption in biocompatible and biodegradable cholinium-based ionic liquids, Sep. Purif. Technol. 254 (2021), 117609.
- [5] T. Zhao, J. Liang, Y. Zhang, Y. Wu, X. Hu, Unexpectedly efficient SO₂ capture and conversion to sulfur in novel imidazole-based deep eutectic solvents, Chem. Commun. 54 (65) (2018) 8964–8967.
- [6] B. Jiang, S. Hou, L. Zhang, N.a. Yang, N.a. Zhang, X. Xiao, X. Yang, Y. Sun, X. Tantai, Ether-linked diamine carboxylate ionic liquid aqueous solution for efficient absorption of SO₂, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 59 (38) (2020) 16786–16794.
- [7] T.U. Rashid, Ionic liquids: innovative fluids for sustainable gas separation from industrial waste stream, J. Mol. Liq. 321 (2021), 114916.
- [8] J. Shi, N. Yan, H. Cui, J. Xu, Y. Liu, S. Zhang, Salt template synthesis of nitrogen and sulfur Co-doped porous carbons as CO₂ adsorbents, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 7 (24) (2019) 19513–19521.
- [9] M.A.I. Ishak, K. Jumbri, S. Daud, M.B.A. Rahman, R.A. Wahab, H. Yamagishi, Y. Yamamoto, Molecular simulation on the stability and adsorption properties of choline-based ionic liquids/IRMOF-1 hybrid composite for selective H₂S/CO₂ capture, J. Hazard Mater. 399 (2020), 123008.
- [10] L. Zhang, L. Xiao, Y. Zhang, L.J. France, Y. Yu, J. Long, D. Guo, X. Li, Synthesis of ionic liquid-SBA-15 composite materials and their application for SO₂ capture from flue gas, Energy Fuels. 32 (1) (2018) 678–687.
- [11] M. Ranjbar, M.A. Taher, A. Sam, Facile hydrothermal synthesis of manganesemetal organic framework nanostructures in the presence of various organic ligands for SO₂ and CO₂ gas adsorption, J. Porous Mater. 23 (2) (2016) 375–380.
- [12] S. Liu, Q. Dong, D. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Wang, Y. Huang, S. Wang, L. Liu, J. Duan, Interplay of tri- and bidentate linkers to evolve micropore environment in a family of quasi-3D and 3D porous coordination polymers for highly selective CO₂ capture, Inorg. Chem. 58 (23) (2019) 16241–16249.
- [13] X. Li, L. Zhang, Y. Zheng, C. Zheng, SO₂ absorption performance enhancement by ionic liquid supported on mesoporous molecular sieve, Energy Fuels. 29 (2) (2015) 942–953.
- [14] S. Gao, P. Zhang, Z. Wang, G. Cui, J. Qiu, J. Wang, Ionic liquid functionalized 3D mesoporous FDU-12 for effective SO₂ capture, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 8 (1) (2020) 586–593.

Separation and Purification Technology 270 (2021) 118824

- [15] L.F. Ding, A.O. Yazaydin, The effect of SO₂ on CO₂ capture in zeolitic imidazolate frameworks, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (2013) 11856.
- [16] H. Yi, Z. Wang, H. Liu, X. Tang, D. Ma, S. Zhao, B. Zhang, F. Gao, Y. Zuo, Adsorption of SO₂, NO, and CO₂ on activated carbons: equilibrium and thermodynamics, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 59 (5) (2014) 1556–1563.
- [17] Y.R. Wang, R.T. Yang, Template removal from SBA-15 by ionic liquid for amine grafting: applications to CO₂ capture and natural gas desulfurization, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 8 (2020) 8295–8304.
- [18] H.Y. Ji, J. Sun, P.W. Wu, B.L. Dai, Y.H. Chao, M. Zhang, W. Jiang, W.S. Zhu, H. M. Li, Deep oxidative desulfurization with a microporous hexagonal boron nitride confining phosphotungstic acid catalyst, J Mol Catal A: Chem. 423 (2016) 207–215.
- [19] H. Ji, H. Ju, R. Lan, P. Wu, J. Sun, Y. Chao, S. Xun, W. Zhu, H. Li, Phosphomolybdic acid immobilized on ionic liquid-modified hexagonal boron nitride for oxidative desulfurization of fuel, RSC Adv. 7 (85) (2017) 54266–54276.
- [20] J. Liang, Q. Song, J. Lin, G. Li, Y.i. Fang, Z. Guo, Y. Huang, C.-S. Lee, C. Tang, In situ Cu-loaded porous boron nitride nanofiber as an efficient adsorbent for CO₂ capture, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 8 (19) (2020) 7454–7462.
- [21] Z.-Y. Deng, J.-M. Zhang, K.-W. Xu, First-principles study of SO₂ molecule adsorption on the pristine and Mn-doped boron nitride nanotubes, Appl. Surf. Sci. 347 (2015) 485–490.
- [22] F.F. Chen, K. Huang, J.P. Fan, D.J. Tao, Chemical solvent in chemical solvent: a class of hybrid materials for effective capture of CO₂, AlChE J. 64 (2018) 632–639.
- [23] D.-J. Tao, F.-F. Chen, Z.-Q. Tian, K. Huang, S.M. Mahurin, D.-e. Jiang, S. Dai, Highly efficient carbon monoxide capture by carbanion-functionalized ionic liquids through C-site interactions, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 129 (24) (2017) 6947–6951.
- [24] A.L. Myers, Equation of state for adsorption of gases and their mixtures in porous materials, Adsorption 9 (2003) 9–16.
- [25] A.L. Myers, J.M. Prausnitz, Thermodynamics of mixed-gas adsorption, AIChE J. 11 (1) (1965) 121–127.
- [26] K. Huang, L. Liang, S. Chai, U. Tumuluri, M. Li, Z. Wu, B.G. Sumpter, S. Dai, Aminopolymer functionalization of boron nitride nanosheets for highly efficient capture of carbon dioxide, J. Mater. Chem. A 5 (31) (2017) 16241–16248.
- [27] Y.C. Wei, M. Zhang, P.W. Wu, J. Luo, D.J. Tao, C. Peng, L. Dai, L.G. Wang, H.M. Li, W.S. Zhu, Deep eutectic solvent-induced high-entropy structures in boron nitride for boosted initiation of aerobic oxidative desulfurization of diesel, Appl. Surf. Sci. 529 (2020), 146980.
- [28] P.W. Wu, L.J. Lu, J. He, L.L. Chen, Y.H. Chao, M.Q. He, F.X. Zhu, X.Z. Chu, H.M. Li, W.S. Zhu, Hexagonal boron nitride: a metal-free catalyst for deep oxidative desulfurization of fuel oils, Green Energy Environ. 5 (2020) 166–172.
- [29] P.W. Wu, B.L. Dai, Y.H. Chao, Y.C. Wu, W. Jiang, C.F. Li, W.S. Zhu, H.M. Li, Graphene-like boron nitride anchored Bronsted acid ionic liquids as metal-free catalyst for advanced oxidation process, Mol. Catal. 436 (2017) 53–59.
- [30] J. Zhao, S. Ren, Y. Hou, K. Zhang, W. Wu, SO₂ absorption by carboxylate anionbased task-specific ionic liquids: effect of solvents and mechanism, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 55 (50) (2016) 12919–12928.
- [31] M. Ranjbar, M.A. Taher, Preparation of Ni-metal organic framework-74 nanospheres by hydrothermal method for SO₂ gas adsorption, J. Porous Mater. 23 (5) (2016) 1249–1254.
- [32] K. Chen, W. Lin, X. Yu, X. Luo, F. Ding, X.i. He, H. Li, C. Wang, Designing of anionfunctionalized ionic liquids for efficient capture of SO₂ from flue gas, AIChE J. 61 (6) (2015) 2028–2034.
- [33] X.C. An, Z.M. Li, Y. Zhou, W.S. Zhu, D.J. Tao, Rapid capture and efficient removal of low-concentration SO₂ in simulated flue gas by hypercrosslinked hollow nanotube ionic polymers, Chem. Eng. J. 394 (2020), 124859.
- [34] L. Xia, Q. Cui, X. Suo, Y.H. Li, X.L. Cui, Q.W. Yang, J.H. Xu, Y.W. Yang, H.B. Xing, Efficient, selective, and reversible SO₂ capture with highly crosslinked ionic microgels via a selective swelling mechanism, Adv. Funct. Mater. 28 (2018) 1704292.
- [35] J.H. Carter, X. Han, F.Y. Moreau, I. da Silva, A. Nevin, H.G.W. Godfrey, C.C. Tang, S. Yang, M. Schröder, Exceptional adsorption and binding of sulfur dioxide in a robust zirconium-based metal-organic framework, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (46) (2018) 15564-15567.
- [36] M. Savage, Y. Cheng, T.L. Easun, J.E. Eyley, S.P. Argent, M.R. Warren, W. Lewis, C. Murray, C.C. Tang, M.D. Frogley, G. Cinque, J. Sun, S. Rudić, R.T. Murden, M. J. Benham, A.N. Fitch, A.J. Blake, A.J. Ramirez-Cuesta, S. Yang, M. Schröder, Selective adsorption of sulfur dioxide in a robust metal-organic framework material, Adv. Mater. 28 (39) (2016) 8705–8711.
- [37] X. Suo, Y. Yu, S. Qian, L. Zhou, X.L. Cui, H.B. Xing, Tailoring the pore size and chemistry of ionic ultramicroporous polymers for trace sulfur dioxide capture with high capacity and selectivity, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.1002/anie.202013448.
- [38] A. Wang, R. Fan, X. Pi, Y. Zhou, G. Chen, W. Chen, Y. Yang, Nitrogen-doped microporous carbons derived from pyridine ligand-based metal–organic complexes as high-performance SO₂ adsorption sorbents, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 10 (43) (2018) 37407–37416.